313/94

COVERING CONFIDENTIAL

FROM: C D KYLE 15 JUNE 1994

CDK/15308/94



| CC | PS/Sir John Wheeler (B&L) | B |
|----|---------------------------------|-----|
| | PS/Michael Ancram (B&L) | B |
| | PS/PUS (B&L) | В |
| | PS/Mr Fell | В |
| | Mr Thomas | В |
| | Mr Legge | B |
| | Mr Williams | B |
| | Mr Steele | В |
| | Mr Bell | В |
| | Mr Lyon | В |
| | Mr Watkins | В |
| | Mr Holmes DENI | |
| | Mr Wood (B&L) | В |
| | Mr Daniell | В |
| | Mr Perry | В |
| | Mrs Collins | В |
| | Mr Brooker | В |
| | Mr Dodds | В |
| | Mr Marsh | В |
| | Mr Maxwell | В |
| | Mr Maxwell Mr Bramley Mrs Brown | В |
| | Mrs Brown | В |
| | Mr Maccabe | B |
| | Mr Rodell | В |
| | Mr Maitland | В |
| | Mr Archer, RID | В |
| | HMA, Dublin | В |
| | Miss Neville-Jones, Cab Office | |
| | Mr David Gould, Cab Office | |
| | Mr Jonathan Powell, Washington | 12. |
| | Chief Constable, RUCHQ | |

PS/SECRETARY OF STATE (B&L) - B

JOINT PLENARY RECORD OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL CONFERENCE HELD IN BELFAST ON 25 APRIL 1994 - IC(94)3

I attach the final version of the Joint Plenary record of the Intergovernmental Conference held in Belfast on 25 April 1994.

the recont visit by the Secretary of State to the United States and [Signed]

C D KYLE

COVERING CONFIDENTIAL

IC(94)3

JOINT PLENARY RECORD OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL CONFERENCE HELD IN BELFAST ON 25 APRIL 1994

PRESENT:

British Side

Sir Patrick Mayhew Sir John Wheeler Michael Ancram

Officials

PUS
Mr Fell
Mr Thomas
Mr Legge
Ambassador Blatherwick
Chief Constable
Mr Archer
Mr Bell

Anglo-Irish Secretariat

Mr Williams Mr Smyth Mr Kyle

In attendance
Mr Stephens
Ms Sung

Mr Holmes

Chief Superintendent Sillery

Irish Side

Mr Spring Mrs Geoghegan-Quinn Mrs Bhreathnach

Mr Dorr
Mr Dalton
Mr S O hUiginn
Mr C O hUiginn
Garda Commissioner
Mr Donoghue
Mr Hennessy
Mr Waters

Mr O'Donovan Mr Mellett Mr Farrell

Mr Cussen

POLITICAL SITUATION

1. The <u>British side</u> referred to the recent 3 day PIRA ceasefire and noted that the two Governments were agreed on the need for evidence of a permanent cessation of violence before Sinn Fein could be admitted to the democratic process. The <u>British side</u> reported on the recent visit by the Secretary of State to the United States and the attitudes he encountered there. It expressed the view that current policy should continue together with firm adherence to the principles of the Downing Street Declaration. The <u>British side</u> also

CONFIDENTIAL

- 1 -

/107

would look carefully at any questions put to it by Sinn Fein concerning the Declaration and would consider what was sensible by way of response. The <u>Irish side</u> considered this last suggestion to be helpful. It noted that the Joint Declaration was going to be at the centre of both Government's policies for years to come and stressed the importance of displaying patience and persistence despite the tests which might occur. The <u>British side</u> emphasised that the Secretary of State had stretched his credibility to the limits with Unionists.

- 2. Both sides agreed that the public statements by Mr Molyneaux after his visit to the United States had been encouraging.
- 3. Both sides noted that the Liaison Group was continuing with its work of examining an Irish draft document. The British side expressed the view that the agreed paper, which it hoped would emerge, should not be prescriptive but should, rather, be about a shared understanding of the parameters which might prove to be a basis for agreement. The Irish side noted that, though good progress had been made in the Liaison Group, there was still some distance to go and many difficult issues remained.
- 4. The <u>Irish side</u> stressed the need to assuage the fears of the Northern Nationalists. They would be wary of anything that could leave them trapped in a minority situation and it would be necessary to meet their fears. This had implications for North/South institutions; there was a need to make clear the significance and define executive powers of such institutions. The <u>Irish side</u> also stressed the need for a fully balanced accommodation in the constitutional area.
- 5. The two sides discussed the next step after an agreed position was reached including when, and how, any agreed position should be made public. The <u>British side</u> stressed the need for caution in going public, in particular before the European elections. <u>Both sides agreed</u> that the Liaison Group should continue with its work

should aim to be in a position to report to Ministers by the next Conference.

6. The <u>British side</u> reported on contacts which had been taking place with the parties. Three of the four parties continued to have discussions with Michael Ancram; the DUP would not. The <u>British side</u> reported that there was some evidence that the UUP wished to get involved again in talks but they were not currently in a position to say publicly that they were prepared to go back to the three-stranded process.

CONFIDENCE ISSUES

Crossmaglen

- 7. The <u>Irish side</u> expressed strong concern over the lack of prior notice Government to Government of the major work at the RUC base in Crossmaglen. It also expressed concern about the impact the whole proceeding had had on the local community and the enormous propaganda boost it had given to PIRA. The <u>Irish side</u> stated that in its view the impact on the peace process in terms of timing could scarcely have been worse. The <u>Irish side</u> also drew attention to the major security operation which it had been required to mount on the Southern side of the border.
- 8. The <u>British side</u> recalled the number of members of the security forces killed in the South Armagh area during the last eighteen months. It emphasised that security force personnel could not be asked to continue to face the risks in this area and, accordingly, a decision had been made to strengthen the defences of the security force base in Crossmaglen. The <u>British side</u> took note of the comments of the Irish side regarding prior notice of future works of that kind, and expressed the hope that as much notice as was practicable could be given, but stressed that security remained the prime consideration.

cing

- 9. The <u>British side</u> advised that it had recently launched a major consultation paper entitled "Policing in the Community". The aim of the paper was to review existing structures (which had been set up almost 25 years ago) with a view to examining how the current arrangements could be improved and built upon. The <u>British side</u> looked forward to receiving the considered views of the Irish side in the matter. The <u>Irish side</u> responded that, given the nature of society within Northern Ireland, any changes in police structures were highly sensitive and expressed the hope that great care would be exercised in this work, emphasising also the necessity for the police to be acceptable to the community as a whole.
- 10. <u>Both sides</u> also discussed the Triennial Review published by the Independent Commission for Police Complaints, as well as the report of HM's Inspector of Constabulary. The <u>British side</u> indicated that the recommendations made by the ICPC were under consideration.

Lethal Force

11. The British side commented that, since it had handed over to the Irish side the report of the Interdepartmental Group set up to study the issue, Lord Chief Justice Hutton had given his recent judgement in the Clegg case. The British side noted that any change to the law to allow for a manslaughter offence, peculiar to the security forces, would give rise to a perception that there was one law for the security forces and one for the rest of the population. The Irish side expressed some disappointment with the conclusions of the Working Group. The Irish side emphasised that Lord Chief Justice Hutton was just the latest recruit to a growing body of opinion which had suggested that some sort of intermediate offence or range of offences was required between murder and acquittal. The Irish side indicated that it would come back with its views on the conclusions of the Group.



12. The two sides had an early exchange of views with regard to the marching season. Both noted that there had been improvements in this area in recent years and the <u>British side</u> emphasised that the RUC adopted an even-handed and incremental approach through negotiation with organisers and other interested parties. The <u>Irish side</u> repeated its view that parades should not go through areas where they were not welcome. The <u>Irish side</u> also looked forward to having a meeting at official level with the British side closer to the marching season's height and to receiving the British side's analysis of parade routes and potential problems.

Broadcasting

- 13. The <u>British side</u> advised that the Secretary of State for National Heritage had just written to Minister Michael D Higgins in response to the latter's letter regarding extending the reception of RTE TV. The <u>British side</u> explained that it was willing to agree to further study of the issue but this was not to be interpreted as a commitment.
- 14. The two sides exchanged views with regard to the Irish announcement of plans for Telefis na Gaelige. The <u>British side</u> suggested that the Irish side should bring forward detailed proposals for examination at official level in the first instance.

Education

- 15. The two Education Ministers briefed the meeting on the separate discussions they had held earlier. Both sides welcomed and adopted the joint paper on co-operation in education and saw this as a framework on which future co-operation could be based.
- 16. The Irish side raised the matter of Meanscoil Feirste and its financing, on which representations had been received. The <u>British</u> side were aware of the concern and were currently reviewing

issue. The <u>Irish side</u> also expressed some concern that the broadening of the school curriculum in 1989 was having an adverse effect on the study of Irish in schools in Northern Ireland. The <u>British side</u> indicated that the figures showed that the numbers of pupils taking Irish at GCSE and A-level had remained fairly constant over the previous five years.

17. The <u>Irish side</u> reiterated the importance that it attached to the proposal that there should be a campus of the University of Ulster at Springvale in West Belfast. The <u>British side</u> said it reserved its position until the feasibility study had been completed.

Shannon-Erne Waterway

18. Both sides expressed satisfaction that arrangements for the management of the Waterway had now been agreed and looked forward to the opening.

NOTE OF A DELEGRITOR RESTING HELD AT THE ANGLO-TRIBE SECRETARIAT:

Le Dona 200

A delegation meeting took pince on 15 June 1994 at Marylield Settler, the the Gritish and Trish sides of the Anglo-Irish Secretariat. The British side was represented by Mr Williams, Mr Smyth and Mr Syle.

the Trish mide.

CONFIDENTIAL

- 6 -