## NATIONAL ARCHIVES

## IRELAND



Reference Code: Creation Date(s): Extent and medium: Creator(s): Access Conditions: Copyright: 2010/19/1653 13 May 1980 4 pages Department of Foreign Affairs Open National Archives, Ireland. May only be reproduced with the written permission of the Director of the National Archives. Uimhir Thagartha Ref. No.



**ROINN AN TAOISIGH** Department of the Taoiseach

> BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH 2 Dublin 2

13th May, 1980.

17/5

Mr. D. Neligan, Assistant Secretary, Department of Foreign Affairs.

Dear David,

I enclose a revised draft of the reply to the Secretary of State for Northern Ireland of which the Taoiseach has approved.

Yours sincerely,

I and Vally

## Confidential

Draft reply by Minister for Foreign Affairs to letter dated 21 April from Mr. Humphrey Atkins.

May, 1980

Thank you for your letter of 21 April in response to which I would like to say how much I too appreciated meeting you here. Our talks were indeed useful and I look forward to their regular continuance.

I appreciate your raising informally the view which I expressed at our meeting that my Government should be involved in discussions of a devolved administration in Northern Ireland. In this regard I have to say that, as you know, the involvement we seek is not particularly or solely related to the process of negotiation which you are at present engaged in with the representatives of certain parties in Northern Ireland, or even to the more general question of relations between both parts of the It relates also to community there. structured discussion between our

## Notes

Mr. Atkins's letter shows a defective understanding of our approach and calls for a reply.

This view was only expressed by the Minister in the context of our desire to have discussions on all three dimensions. (See below)

, panticularly in the present troubled state of the world, Governments about relations between both parts of Ireland and between Ireland and Britain. This is the wider context in which we desire to engage in talks with your Government with a view to finding a formula for permanent peace and stability.

As regards the process in which you are engaged, you are aware that it is not our policy to comment publicly upon developments lest we should thereby afford a pretext to any participant to withdraw, or should otherwise disrupt the process.

You refer to the risk of extinction of the partial cooperation of the Unionist side from which your efforts have benefitted up to now, but there is perhaps a more obvious danger of withdrawal by the parties which desire implementation we understood of what used to be the bipartisan British objective of executive partnership. You are aware of the doubts we have, in these circumstances, productes for the megotiation you are engaged in and of our view We have not commented on developments in the conference. We have said we found its original basis inadequate (before the parallel conference was initiated) and we say that the conference cannot provide a conclusive settlement (see next para.)

Point out delicately that only one Unionist party is cooperating at all with Atkins.

Make point that powersharing used to be a bipartisan British principle.



that, even if it were to lead to an agreed result, this could not provide a conclusive settlement. It is in these circumstances that we propose that the issue be lifted to a higher plane between us and that we should consider mechanisms discuss between us in a fundamental for fundamental discussion of all three dimensions of the problem.

I look forward to seeing you when our Heads of Government meet in the near future.

Yours sincerely

ze pspi, pss, m. Burke, M. D. Nally

Manuscript changes proposed by Mr Nally.

rong